

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,854	09/24/2003	Robert Lauter	25514-B USA	9754
23307	7590 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP			PHILLIPS, CHARLES E	
2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950		3751		

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_ L 1/ //			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/670,854	LAUTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles E. Phillips	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day in different and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOMIN PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmont/e\					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(8) S)	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-3, drawn to a sealing system, classified in class 384, subclass
 15.

- II. Claims 4-6, drawn to a sealing system for a spa, classified in class 4, subclass 541.1
- III. Claims 7-10, drawn to a mechanism for raising and lowering a platform, classified in class 248, subclass 124.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the rib is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as absent a spa.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as absent entertainment equipment. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Chionchio on 8/31/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,854

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E.

Phillips at telephone number (703) 308-1515.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner

Page 3